: 1	
2	
3	
4	JUL 3 0 2008
5	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT SOUTHER DISTRICTORNIA
6	BY DE SANTA ANA DEPUTY
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: SA 08-400
12	Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION
13	vs.
14	Keesal, Peter Corlette
15	Defendant.
16	,
17	langung sa kalang kanang kalang kalang kanang sa L. Indian pang kalang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang
18	A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
19	1. () a crime of violence.
20	2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
21	3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence
22	of ten or more years.
23	4. () any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior
24	offenses described above.
25	5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a
26	minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device
27	or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18
28	U.S.C. § 2250.

1	В.	X	On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case
2		· ····································	allegedly involving:
3		(,)	On the further allegation by the Government of:
4.			1. a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
5			2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:
6		.* :	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
7			b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or
8			juror, or attempt to do so.
9	C.	The C	Government () is/(x) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
10		cond	ition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
11		appea	arance as required and the safety or any person or the community.
12			
13		e	II.
14	A.	X	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
15		•	reasonably assure:
16		1.	the appearance of the defendant as required.
17			and/or
18		2.	the safety of any person or the community.
19	В.	(,)	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence
20			to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
21			
22			III.
23		The C	Court has considered:
24	A.	(X)	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether
25	1.		the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves
26			a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive
27			device;
	В.	(X)	the weight of evidence against the defendant;

. A Tyriki Mila	C. (X) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
5 1 6 10 10 10 2 1	D. (X) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.
4	IV.
5	The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the
6	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services
7	Report/recommendation.
8	
9	V.
10	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
11	A. As to flight risk: Secured
12	lack of confirmed a bail resources.
13	substance abuse history;
14	recent unstable residential history; luck of complete candor w/PSAW
15	luck of complete candor w/PSAW
16	•
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	B. (A) As to danger:
22	nature of allegations; fact that instance offense
23	tact that Intence oftenic
24	committed (allegedly) while det under
25	Supervision:
26	substance abuse history and lack of
27	amenability to restment; extensive arrest consiction
28	record

Case 8:08-mj-00400-DUTY Document 5 Filed 07/30/08 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:17

28